



DCU Water Institute

INNOVATIONS IN HOW WE MONITOR OUR WATER ENVIRONMENT

Professor Fiona Regan School of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University

Overview

Internet of things and decision support

Current approaches

New technologies for rapid testing

Biosensors

Catchment monitoring

Innovations in sensing – eDNA

Involving Citizens

Emerging contaminants and health Novel sensors and biosensors

For Water

Data analytics Water IoT Analytical methods and innovative engineering solutions



More devices collecting data

Floating pontoon with integrated power and telemetry

E IT.

Multiparameter sonde

free -----

> MIDE ----

marit

11000

2.5 m

8 m ن

Sediment

4.36m

.

2 3

manufulle

Are technology innovations meeting the needs?



Current Monitoring approach: Compliance, surveillance, investigative → Levels of pollutants can vary temporally and spatially→

Episodic events could be missed, or conclusions could be drawn on the basis of what may only be transitory high levels.











Low cost distributed

sensing

Information

Decision support





BACKGROUND-METHODS



Colisense assessment for rapid detection of faecal pollution in bathing areas

Sample to answer in 75 min

- Ciprian Briciu-Burghina
- Brendan Heery
- George Sharpson







Water Institute

PROTOCOL DESCRIPTION



COLISENSE DESIGN













ANALYTICAL PERFORMANCE

SAMPLE COLLECTION AND BATHING WATER SITES

Parameter	Excellent	Good	Sufficient	Poor
Intestinal Enterococci (IE)	≤100	101 - 200	201 - 250	>250
Escherichia coli (E.coli)	≤250	251 - 500	501 - 1000	>1000

Classification Standards for Bathing Waters (Single Sample Status Assessment)

Action Levels in Response to Microbiological Sample Results (HSE)*

Escherichia coli (E.coli)		Intestinal Enterococci (IE)	Recommended Action		
>2000 <u>E.coli</u>	0	R >250 IE	Issue a bathing water prohibition		
≥1000 - ≤2000 <mark>E.coli</mark>	AN	ID ≥200 IE	Issue a bathing water prohibition		
≥1000 - ≤2000 <mark>E.coli</mark>	BU	IT <200 IE	Issue and Advisory notice and re-sample immediately		
If re-sample is still ≥1000 E.coli			Issue a bathing water prohibition		
≥500 - <1000 <u>E.coli</u>	AN		Monitor situation and re-sample. Decision based on evidence available/details of pollution event.		

*Based on HSE risk assessment taking into account the beach profile, previous sampling history, probable source of contamination, evidence of human illness etc.

SAMPLE COLLECTION AND BATHING WATER SITES

Samples:

- 11 designated bathing sites
- 2 added sites (Bob Davis Culvert & Balbriggan Harbour)



Map showing the location of the 11 Bathing sites (Fingal City Council) Source: http://splash.epa.ie/#National

SUMMARY OF DATA

Total samples collected	N=130	
Samples analysed	N=128 (2	lost)
Samples removed due to malfunction of sensor	N=0	
Samples removed due to method problems	N=3	
Total samples used for correlations/regressions	N=125	-
<i>E. coli</i> > 2000 MPN 100 mL ⁻¹	N=5	
<i>E. coli</i> 1000-2000 MPN 100 mL ⁻¹	N=7	,
<i>E. coli</i> 500-1000 MPN 100 mL ⁻¹	N=6	
<i>E. coli</i> 0-500 MPN 100mL ⁻¹	N=107	

2019 data

Sample ID	Sample	Spiked	Contamination	ColiSense	E. coli	500 E. coli
	type	with	level	response	(MPN/100 mL)*	threshold
						prediction
1	SW	-	-	0.88	10	<500
2	SW	S	L	5.35	591	>500
3	SW	S	М	18.44	2005	>500
4	SW	S	Н	133.08	19863	>500
5	SW	Sw	М	0.48	<10	<500
6	SW	Bs	М	0.72	<10	<500
7	SW	FE	L	11.19	254	>500
8	SW	FE	Н	98.23	2005	>500
9	GW	-	-	0.33	<10	<500
10	GW	S	L	NA	406	NA
SW-seawater, GW-ground water, S-sewage, Sw-seaweed, Bs-beach sand, FE-farm effluent, L-low, M-						

SW-seawater, GW-ground water, S-sewage, Sw-seaweed, Bs-beach sand, FE-farm effluent, L-low, M moderate, H-high contamination; NA-not analysed;

*-samples analysed by HSE











g.2. CollSense system design and construction. (A) Normalised spectra of chemical components of the assay and optical corr 6) Schematic of the incubation and fluorescence detection system. (C) Physical realisation of key system components. (D' raphical user interface (GAR).

Improved Decision Making for Bathing Waters



Are technology innovations meeting the needs?



DCU

Water

- Day separation on 3-day 3-analyte disc
- **Biological sample load reservoir**
- Biological antibody mixing reservoir
- Chemical sample load reservoir
- Chemical antibody/peptide mixing reservoir
- Test reservoir
- **Control reservoir**
- Waste storage
- Chelex resin loaded reservoir (Control)
- Test Reservoir for Heavy metals only
- Saxitoxin detection, and derivatives 1.B
- Microcystin detection, and derivatives 2.B
- Azaspiracid detection, and derivatives 3.8
- Domoic acid detection, and derivatives
- Naphthalene
- PFOS
- Camphechlor
- All heavy metals 4.C





diffusive surface S adsorbing surface A New device developments for phosphate in catchment monitoring



Centrifugal Microfluidics – How does it work?

- Lab-on-a disc for phosphate
- Used to integrate processes such as separating, mixing, reaction and detecting molecules
- Principle of <u>microfluidics</u>



Our Vision for phosphate monitoring in a catchment

Water catchment

assessments are possible where a phosphate single sensor disc can be used for multiple sites with minimal sample handling.





Manufacturing and Assembly Facilities



















3D Printing





















Field-based assessment the analytical performance of novel phosphate for sensors water monitoring. G. Duffy,^a P. McCluskey^b, U. H. Mahl^c,

of

N. Kent^b, Southampton people^e, Ivan Maguire^a, Margaret M^cCaul^b, J. Tank^c, D. Diamond^b and F. Regan^a







Translating knowledge



Environmental DNA (eDNA)



SalmoSense Design (Gen 2)



















Our Vision

• Develop a biosensor with high sensitivity and specificity and where a single sensor disc can be used for multiple sites with minimal sample handling.

The device should have the minimum number of steps required, run at low temperatures and ideally use a fluorescence based detection system.



Increasing sample complexity



Vector with target sequence



Tissue extract with whole target genome



Environmental sample

Detection of *S. salar* tissue possible at 10^{-3} ng/µl



S. salar tissue extraction detected with SalmoSense

SalmoSense detects *S. salar* in eDNA sample with known







Environmental DNA samples (with thanks to Bernie Ball and Jens Carlsson) detected with SalmoSense

ISSN 1755-098X

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY RESOURCES



Published by Wiley Received: 23 February 2019 Revised: 30 May 2019 Accepted: 31 May 2019

DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.13045

FROM THE COVER



The application of CRISPR-Cas for single species identification from environmental DNA

We report the first application of CRISPR-Cas technology to single species detection

from environmental DNA (eDNA). Organisms shed and excrete DNA into their envi-

ronment such as in skin cells and faeces, referred to as environmental DNA (eDNA).

Utilising eDNA allows noninvasive monitoring with increased specificity and sensi-

tivity. Current methods primarily employ PCR-based techniques to detect a given

species from eDNA samples, posing a logistical challenge for on-site monitoring and

potential adaptation to biosensor devices. We have developed an alternative method:

coupling isothermal amplification to a CRISPR-Cas12a detection system. This utilises

the collateral cleavage activity of Cas12a, a ribonuclease guided by a highly specific

single CRISPR RNA. We used the target species Salmo salar as a proof-of-concept test

of the specificity of the assay among closely related species and to show the assay

is successful at a single temperature of 37°C with signal detection at 535 nM. The

specific assay, detects at attomolar sensitivity with rapid detection rates (<2.5 hr).

This approach simplifies the challenge of building a biosensor device for rapid target

species detection in the field and can be easily adapted to detect any species from

eDNA samples from a variety of sources enhancing the capabilities of eDNA as a tool

Molly-Ann Williams^{1,2} | Joyce O'Grady^{3,2} | Bernard Ball⁴ | Jens Carlsson⁴ | Elvira de Eyto⁵ | Philip McGinnity^{5,6} | Eleanor Jennings⁷ | Fiona Regan^{3,2} | Anne Parle-McDermott^{1,2}

for monitoring biodiversity.

KEYWORDS

Abstract

¹School of Biotechnology, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland

²OCU Water Institute, Dublin City, University, Dublin, Ireland ³School of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland ⁶Area 52 Research Group, School of Biology and Environmental Science/Earth Institute, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland ⁶Marine Institute, Co. Mavo, Ireland

⁶School of Biological, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

⁷Centre for Freshwater Studies and Department of Applied Sciences, Dundalk Institute of Technology, Dundalk, Ireland

Correspondence

Molly-Ann Williams and Anne Parle-McDermott, School of Biotechnology, Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland, Emails: molly.williams 9@mail.dcu.ie (MW) and anne.parle-mcdermott@dcu.ie (AP)

Funding Information

Irish Marine Institute as part of the Burrishoole Ecosystem Observatory Network 2020:, Grant/Award Number: BEYOND 2020 PBA/FS/16/02

1 | INTRODUCTION

Environmental DNA (eDNA) offers a new opportunity for biologists and conservationists to monitor biodiversity and track invasive species from the organic material that they leave behind. The urgency of biodiversity monitoring is at an all-time high with the latest WWF Living Planet Index showing an overall decline of 60% in wildlife population sizes since 1970, rising to 83% for freshwater organisms (WWF, 2018). An organism can provide a rich source of eDNA in both soil and water through the cells and waste that they shed and excrete including faeces, mucus, gametes, hair and skin (Thomsen, Klelgast, Iversen, Wluf, et al., 2012). As well as retrieving samples directly from the environment such as fresh or sea water, eDNA can also be collected from longer term deposits such as sediment and ice cores (Ficetola, Miaud, Pompanon, & Taberlet, 2008; Jerde, Mahon, Chadderton, & Lodge, 2011; Thomsen, Klelgast, Iversen, Meller, et al., 2012; Turner, Uy, & Everhart, 2015; Willerslev et al., 2007). eDNA will improve biodiversity monitoring by providing data on the variety, geographic range (Beans, 2018) and potentially the abundance of species enabling greater

biosensor, CRISPR-Cas, eDNA, environmental, freshwater, salmon

© 2019 John Wiley & Sons Ltd 1



Citizen science – Water Blitz

- Innovations can involve citizens
- In September 2019 we undertook the first water blitz
- >300 participants
- Nitrates, phosphates, litter, algae
- Rainfall events





Hegarty



Citizens can play a really important role in monitoring



Key Messages











Need informed decision making in response to the need to manage & protect water Be open to new ways of monitoring water for emerging contaminants of concern Novel technology can play a role and we need to see significant investment in capacity and research Integrate technology with data analytics – work with stakeholder to understand the problem Better approach to management of a scarce resource

